UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,924	08/02/2006	Wolfgang Biesel	BIESEL ET AL-1 PCT 3640	
25889 COLLARD & I	7590 12/21/201 ROE, P.C.	0	EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHE	RN BOULEVARD		GILBERT, ANDREW M	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	10/587,924	BIESEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANDREW M. GILBERT	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely unit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 O	ctober 2010.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	v alaatian vaavivamaat					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2010 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	priority under SELLS C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (i).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	a haya haan ragaiyad					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
<u> </u>	· ·					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	d III tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	d				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attach wo aut/a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Miterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informat P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/587,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/19/2010 has been entered.

Acknowledgments

- 1. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 10/19/2010.
- 2. In the reply, the applicant amended claims 1, 5, 6, and 12.
- 3. Thus, claims 1-12 are pending for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Almasian et al (7137974). Almasian et al discloses the apparatus and method of a patient connector

Application/Control Number: 10/587,924

Art Unit: 3767

(4); a device (2) for loading a new closure plug (22) into the patient connector, the patient connector having a housing (8), and a movable actuator (10), the device consisting of a housing (6) containing a new closure plug (22) and a reloading device for transferring (20); wherein transferring the new plug moves the actuator from a used position to a starting position (Figs 2a-f; alternatively, Figs 5a-e, Figs 7a-e); the housing connectable with the patient connector (Fig 1-2f) by a positive connection or friction type connection (42, 44; Figs 1-2f); a reloading device for transferring being a push-button or turning knob (flange on 28) and an intermediate element (20) between it and the plug and delivering the plug by linear displacement (Figs 2a-f); the retention force of the holder (18) being less than that of the patient connector (Figs 2a-f); the new closure plug in a retracted position within housing (Figs 2a-f; Summary); for use in peritoneal dialysis (col 7, Ins 38-41).

Page 3

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/19/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. The applicant argues that Almasian et al does not describe a way to introduce a new sterile closure plug into a connector, particularly into a patient connector as used for peritoneal dialysis.
- 8. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Almasian et al discloses use for peritoneal dialysis (col 7, lns 38-45 and above citations and discussion). In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., Remarks, pg 13, ¶2-pg 14, ¶1)

Art Unit: 3767

are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW M. GILBERT whose telephone number is (571)272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,924 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

/Andrew M Gilbert/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763